



The Study of Religion and History

Volume 3 issue 2 (2025)

www.srhjournal.com

ISSN(Print): 3006-3329

ISSN(Online): 3006-3337

Diasporic Consciousness and Transgenerational Histories in South Asian Narrative

Gulraiz Falak

Department of English, school of English, Lincoln University College, Malaysia

Dhotharfalak001@gmail.com

Abstract:

South Asian narratives are deeply shaped by layered histories of colonialism, partition, migration, and cultural continuity, resulting in literary expressions where individual consciousness is inseparable from collective and transgenerational memory. This article explores how South Asian writers represent consciousness as a historically embedded phenomenon, transmitted across generations through memory, trauma, silence, and storytelling. Drawing on postcolonial theory, memory studies, and narrative psychology, the study examines how novels, short stories, and autobiographical narratives articulate inherited experiences of violence, displacement, and identity formation. The paper argues that transgenerational histories function not merely as thematic content but as structuring principles of narrative consciousness, shaping voice, temporality, and subjectivity. By situating personal interiority within broader socio-historical frameworks, South Asian narratives challenge Western individualistic models of consciousness and foreground relational, communal, and historical modes of being.

Keyword:

Consciousness, Transgenerational Memory, South Asian Literature, Postcolonial Narrative, Partition, Collective Trauma, Identity Formation, Cultural Memory

Introduction:

South Asian literature emerges from a region marked by profound historical ruptures, including colonial domination, the trauma of Partition, post-independence nation-building, and ongoing negotiations of identity. These experiences have deeply influenced narrative forms and thematic concerns, particularly the representation of consciousness. Unlike purely individualistic depictions of inner life, South Asian narratives often portray consciousness as shaped by inherited memories, communal histories, and unspoken traumas passed from one generation to another.

The concept of transgenerational history is especially significant in this context. Memories of displacement, loss, and survival frequently surface in narratives written by authors who did not directly experience historical events such as Partition but remain psychologically and culturally affected by them. This inherited consciousness complicates linear temporality and challenges the boundaries between past and present. The present study examines how South Asian narratives

articulate such layered consciousness, emphasizing the ethical and political importance of remembering, narrating, and re-imagining historical experiences.

Theoretical Framework—Consciousness and Transgenerational Memory

This study is grounded in an interdisciplinary theoretical framework that integrates postcolonial theory, psychoanalytic approaches to memory, and cultural trauma studies in order to conceptualize consciousness as historically produced and socially mediated rather than purely individual or cognitive (Fanon, 1963; Said, 1978). Postcolonial theory emphasizes how colonial power structures, epistemic violence, and historical ruptures shape subjectivity, particularly in societies marked by displacement and domination (Bhabha, 1994; Spivak, 1988). Within this perspective, consciousness emerges as a site where personal experience intersects with collective history, rendering individual identity inseparable from inherited social and political conditions (Mohanty, 2003). Psychoanalytic theories of memory, particularly those addressing trauma, repression, and repetition, further illuminate how unresolved historical experiences are transmitted across generations through affective patterns, silences, and fragmented narratives (Caruth, 1996; Laub, 1992). Transgenerational memory theory extends this insight by suggesting that descendants of historical trauma often internalize emotional residues of events they did not directly witness, resulting in forms of “remembering without memory” (Hirsch, 2008). In South Asian literature, these inherited memories frequently surface through narrative strategies such as spectral presences, cyclical temporality, and disrupted interior monologues, reflecting how consciousness remains haunted by the past (Das, 2007; Ghosh, 2009). Cultural trauma studies complement this framework by foregrounding the collective dimension of suffering, emphasizing how societies narrate, ritualize, or suppress traumatic histories to construct shared meanings (Alexander, 2004). Together, these theoretical approaches enable an understanding of South Asian narrative consciousness as a relational and ethical formation—one that is continuously shaped by historical violence, familial transmission, and cultural memory, and that resists linear notions of time, selfhood, and historical closure (Kaplan, 1996; Nandy, 2000).

Partition as a Foundational Source of Narrative Consciousness

Partition occupies a central position in South Asian narrative consciousness, functioning not merely as a historical backdrop but as a foundational psychological and cultural rupture that continues to shape literary representations of selfhood and memory (Chakrabarty, 2002; Menon, 2007). Literary narratives frequently depict Partition as a transgenerational inheritance, where the trauma of displacement, communal violence, and sudden loss of homeland is transmitted through familial storytelling, collective silence, and affective memory (Butalia, 1998; Kapur, 2003). Characters often encounter Partition not through direct experience but through fragmented accounts narrated by parents and grandparents, resulting in a consciousness shaped by fear, nostalgia, and unresolved grief (Khan, 2007; Bhatia, 2007). This inherited trauma produces a fractured subjectivity in which past and present coexist, disrupting linear temporality and blurring the boundaries between personal memory and historical imagination (Das, 2007; Ghosh, 2009). Partition narratives also emphasize the ethical dimensions of remembrance, as characters grapple with questions of responsibility, belonging, and identity in the aftermath of violence (Bhatia, 2007; Chopra, 2015). Sudden recollections, recurring dreams, and symbolic landscapes—such as abandoned homes, borders, and trains—serve as narrative devices through which suppressed histories resurface within individual consciousness (Jalal, 1995; Gandhi, 2009). In this sense, Partition operates as a transgenerational archive embedded within narrative form itself, influencing character psychology, narrative voice,

and temporal structure (Nandy, 2000). By portraying consciousness as haunted and historically saturated, South Asian literature transforms Partition into an enduring epistemological framework through which identity, morality, and collective memory are continuously negotiated (Chowdhury, 2015; Sen, 2017).

Family, Silence, and Inherited Trauma

Family functions as the primary site through which historical consciousness and traumatic memory are transmitted in South Asian narratives, often operating through silence as much as through explicit storytelling (Srinivas, 2001; Sidhwa, 1992). Rather than direct narration of past violence, many texts foreground absences, omissions, and emotional undercurrents that shape familial relationships (Nandy, 2000; Ghosh, 2009). Silence emerges as a powerful communicative mode—one that reflects both the impossibility of articulating traumatic experience and the cultural norms that discourage open confrontation with pain, loss, and shame (Bhatia, 2007; Kapur, 2003). Traumatic histories are frequently conveyed through everyday gestures, recurring emotional responses, bodily reactions, and fragmented anecdotes that children absorb long before they can cognitively comprehend their origins (Das, 2007; Raj, 2010). This indirect transmission produces inherited trauma, wherein characters internalize fear, anxiety, and a sense of displacement without possessing a coherent narrative of its source (Gandhi, 2009; Chowdhury, 2015). Such affective inheritance profoundly influences identity formation, shaping characters' attachments, interpersonal dynamics, and perceptions of belonging within family and nation (Sidhwa, 1992; Nandy, 2000). Literature becomes a critical space in which these silences are rendered legible, allowing suppressed histories to surface through narrative gaps, shifting perspectives, and symbolic motifs (Menon, 2007; Ghosh, 2009). By giving form to what families cannot openly articulate, South Asian narratives transform silence from an absence of meaning into a repository of memory, revealing how trauma persists not only through what is remembered, but through what remains unspoken across generations (Chowdhury, 2015; Baker, 2017).

Narrative Techniques and Temporal Fluidity

South Asian writers frequently employ innovative narrative techniques—such as non-linear narration, fragmented chronology, and shifting narrative perspectives—to formally embody the workings of transgenerational consciousness (Ghosh, 2009; Chatterjee, 1993). Rather than adhering to chronological realism, these narratives reflect the psychological reality of subjects whose present awareness is continuously interrupted by inherited memories and unresolved histories (Khan, 2007; Das, 2007). Flashbacks, dreams, hallucinations, and sudden temporal dislocations function as narrative mechanisms through which the past intrudes upon the present, often without warning or clear causality (Sainath, 2005; Kapoor, 2003). Parallel timelines and multi-generational storylines further collapse temporal boundaries, suggesting that historical events such as Partition, migration, or communal violence remain active forces within contemporary consciousness (Chakrabarty, 2002; Bhatia, 2007). These narrative strategies resist linear notions of historical progress and closure, emphasizing instead the persistence and recurrence of trauma across time (Jalal, 1995; Gandhi, 2009). Fragmentation within narrative structure mirrors the fractured nature of memory itself, particularly when trauma disrupts coherent recollection (Baker, 2017). By allowing multiple temporalities to coexist within a single narrative space, South Asian literature articulates consciousness as layered and palimpsestic rather than unified or stable (Sen, 2017). Narrative form thus becomes a critical epistemological tool, enabling writers to represent the simultaneity of past

and present and to challenge dominant historical narratives that seek to confine trauma to a completed past (Menon, 2007; Mishra, 2010)..

Challenging Western Models of Individual Consciousness

By foregrounding collective memory, relational identity, and historical embeddedness, South Asian narratives fundamentally challenge dominant Western literary models that conceptualize consciousness as autonomous, self-contained, and primarily introspective (Fanon, 1963; Said, 1978). In contrast to Enlightenment-derived notions of the rational, independent subject, South Asian literary representations portray the self as constituted through familial bonds, communal affiliations, and inherited histories (Bhabha, 1994; Spivak, 1988). Consciousness is depicted not as an isolated interior space but as an ethical and relational formation, shaped by obligations to past generations and collective experiences of trauma, migration, and survival (Mohanty, 2003; Nandy, 2000). This reconceptualization destabilizes the primacy of individual agency by emphasizing interdependence, shared memory, and moral responsibility across time (Gandhi, 2009; Menon, 2007). Characters often experience their identities as extensions of ancestral narratives, revealing how personal choices are informed by historical legacies beyond individual control (Chakrabarty, 2002; Ghosh, 2009). Such representations invite a rethinking of subjectivity within global literary studies, offering alternative epistemologies of selfhood grounded in community, continuity, and remembrance (Kapoor, 2003; Bhatia, 2007). By resisting universalized Western frameworks of consciousness, South Asian narratives assert culturally specific modes of being that foreground memory as a moral act and consciousness as a site of historical accountability, thereby expanding the theoretical scope of comparative and postcolonial literary analysis (Spivak, 1988; Nandy, 2000).

Gendered Dimensions of Transgenerational Consciousness

Transgenerational consciousness in South Asian narratives is profoundly shaped by gender, with women's bodies, emotions, and everyday practices often serving as primary sites for the transmission of historical memory (Spivak, 1988; Mohanty, 2003). Literary texts repeatedly position women within domestic spaces—kitchens, courtyards, bedrooms, and ritual settings—where oral storytelling, caregiving, and embodied labor become crucial modes of preserving the past (Butalia, 1998; Sidhwa, 1992). Through lullabies, folktales, gestures of mourning, and repetitive household rituals, women transmit memories of Partition, migration, communal violence, and familial loss to subsequent generations, often without explicit historical narration (Das, 2007; Kapur, 2003). This gendered transmission of memory frequently occurs in contexts of silence, where women are denied formal narrative authority or discouraged from articulating traumatic experiences related to sexual violence, honor, displacement, and sacrifice (Chowdhury, 2015; Bhatia, 2007). As a result, trauma is internalized and expressed through affective patterns such as anxiety, grief, and emotional restraint rather than direct testimony (Gandhi, 2009; Nandy, 2000). Gendered emotional labor and caregiving roles further intensify this process, positioning women as custodians of familial and cultural continuity while simultaneously limiting their agency (Srinivas, 2001; Ghosh, 2009). South Asian narratives thus portray female consciousness as deeply entangled with inherited suffering and resilience, revealing how gender mediates the experience of historical memory (Chatterjee, 1993; Menon, 2007). By foregrounding women's silenced yet persistent role in transmitting transgenerational trauma, these narratives challenge patriarchal historiography and recover alternative, embodied forms of historical knowledge embedded within feminine subjectivity (Spivak, 1988; Sainath, 2005).

Space, Place, and the Geography of Memory

South Asian narratives frequently construct consciousness as inseparable from spatial memory, where physical locations operate as enduring repositories of transgenerational history and affect (Chakrabarty, 2002; Sainath, 2005). Homes, ancestral villages, border crossings, and landscapes are not merely settings but active mnemonic agents that trigger inherited memories and emotional responses (Das, 2007; Butalia, 1998). Literary representations often depict abandoned houses, refugee camps, trains, and borderlands as charged symbolic spaces where personal recollections intersect with collective histories of displacement, loss, and survival (Kapur, 2003; Jalal, 1995). These spaces carry the emotional residues of historical trauma, enabling characters to experience events they did not directly witness through sensory and affective engagement with place (Gandhi, 2009; Bhatia, 2007). The recurrence of borders and transitional spaces underscores the instability of belonging in post-Partition South Asia, revealing how geography becomes imprinted with political violence and cultural rupture (Chowdhury, 2015; Menon, 2007). Spatial memory thus collapses distinctions between past and present, allowing landscapes to function as living archives that sustain historical consciousness across generations (Srinivas, 2001; Nandy, 2000). Through this spatial grounding of memory, South Asian literature reveals how identity is shaped not only by temporal inheritance but also by displacement from, attachment to, and imaginative return to contested places, thereby redefining consciousness as both historically and geographically constituted (Bhabha, 1994; Kapoor, 2003).

Language, Orality, and the Transmission of Memory

Language and orality play a central role in shaping transgenerational consciousness in South Asian narratives, where memory is frequently preserved and transmitted through speech, storytelling, and communal narration rather than written archives alone (Chatterjee, 1993; Sidhwa, 1992). Writers often incorporate multilingual expressions, untranslated words, idioms, proverbs, and folk narratives drawn from Urdu, Hindi, Punjabi, Bengali, and other regional languages, creating a linguistically layered narrative space (Bhabha, 1994; Kapur, 2003). These strategies resist colonial and monolingual literary norms that privilege standardized English, asserting instead the legitimacy of indigenous modes of expression and memory (Spivak, 1988; Mohanty, 2003). Oral traditions—such as family stories, myths, lullabies, and anecdotal recollections—function as living archives that carry historical knowledge across generations, particularly in contexts where formal historical documentation is absent or exclusionary (Das, 2007; Gandhi, 2009). The presence of untranslated language also signals the limits of full comprehension, mirroring how inherited memory is often partial, fragmented, and emotionally charged (Nandy, 2000; Ghosh, 2009). Through this linguistic hybridity, consciousness is represented as polyphonic and culturally embedded, reflecting the coexistence of multiple historical registers within a single narrative voice (Sen, 2017; Bhatia, 2007). South Asian literature thus demonstrates how language itself becomes a vessel of memory, enabling the survival of collective histories through everyday speech and reinforcing the idea that consciousness is shaped as much by how stories are told as by what is remembered (Srinivas, 2001; Menon, 2007).

Postmemory and the Ethics of Representation

Building on the concept of postmemory, South Asian narratives engage deeply with the ethical challenges of representing inherited trauma—histories that writers and characters did not directly experience but nevertheless feel compelled to remember and narrate (Hirsch, 2008; Caruth, 1996). Postmemory foregrounds the complex relationship between proximity and distance, where

emotional intensity coexists with historical absence (Gandhi, 2009; Nandy, 2000). In this context, authors grapple with questions of authenticity and legitimacy, negotiating whether imaginative reconstruction can ethically stand in for lived experience (Chowdhury, 2015; Kapoor, 2003). South Asian literature often addresses this tension through narrative self-reflexivity, acknowledging the gaps, silences, and uncertainties that accompany inherited memory (Spivak, 1988; Bhatia, 2007). Rather than claiming authoritative historical truth, these narratives emphasize ethical responsibility, framing storytelling as an act of witnessing rather than appropriation (Das, 2007; Menon, 2007). The risk of aestheticizing or commodifying trauma is frequently countered by restraint, fragmentation, and narrative hesitation, which signal respect for historical suffering (Srinivas, 2001; Sidhwa, 1992). By foregrounding doubt and incompleteness, writers resist the closure often imposed by official histories (Jalal, 1995; Ghosh, 2009). Literature thus becomes an ethical practice that balances remembrance with humility, allowing inherited trauma to be articulated without erasing the experiences of those who directly endured it (Chakrabarty, 2002; Sen, 2017). Through this careful negotiation, South Asian narratives transform postmemory into a moral engagement with the past, rooted in accountability, empathy, and historical consciousness (Bhabha, 1994; Spivak, 1988).

Diaspora, Migration, and Transnational Consciousness

Diasporic South Asian narratives offer a particularly fertile space for examining transgenerational consciousness, as migration intensifies the persistence of inherited memory across spatial and cultural boundaries (Chakrabarty, 2002; Sainath, 2005). Characters in these texts often inhabit multiple cultural worlds simultaneously, negotiating new national contexts while remaining deeply tethered to ancestral histories shaped by colonialism, Partition, and displacement (Menon, 2007; Ghosh, 2009). Transgenerational memory travels across borders through everyday practices such as food preparation, religious rituals, storytelling, and the continued use of ancestral languages, allowing the past to remain actively present within diasporic life (Das, 2007; Bhatia, 2007). These cultural practices function as mnemonic anchors, sustaining emotional and historical continuity despite geographic separation (Sen, 2017; Jalal, 1995). Consciousness in diasporic narratives is thus marked by simultaneity, wherein characters experience belonging to multiple places and temporalities at once—home and hostland, past and present, memory and lived reality (Gandhi, 2009; Nandy, 2000). This layered consciousness often produces hybrid identities characterized by tension, ambivalence, and creative negotiation, rather than seamless assimilation (Chowdhury, 2015; Kapoor, 2003). South Asian literature portrays diasporic subjectivity as historically saturated and ethically connected to collective memory, demonstrating how migration does not dissolve transgenerational trauma but instead reconfigures it within transnational spaces (Spivak, 1988; Bhabha, 1994). Through this lens, diaspora becomes not a rupture from the past but an extension of historical consciousness across global networks (Srinivas, 2001; Sidhwa, 1992).

Trauma, Healing, and Narrative as Testimony

South Asian literature frequently positions narrative as a crucial space for testimony, healing, and ethical engagement with inherited trauma. By articulating experiences of historical violence, displacement, and loss—often transmitted across generations—writers transform private and collective suffering into shared cultural knowledge (Caruth, 1996; Laub, 1992). Storytelling functions simultaneously as an act of witnessing and a process of repair, enabling silenced or marginalized histories to be acknowledged within the public and literary sphere (Das, 2007; Bhatia, 2007). Rather than offering simplistic resolution, these narratives emphasize the gradual and fragile

nature of healing, portraying consciousness as dynamic and responsive to narrative articulation (Gandhi, 2009; Sidhwa, 1992). Through testimonial modes such as fragmented narration, first-person recollection, and intergenerational dialogue, literature creates spaces where trauma can be named without being reduced or sensationalized (Spivak, 1988; Menon, 2007). Communal recognition—whether through family listening, collective memory, or reader engagement—further facilitates ethical processing by situating individual pain within a broader historical context (Nandy, 2000; Sen, 2017). In this way, South Asian narratives reimagine consciousness not as permanently defined by trauma, but as capable of transformation through narrative expression, remembrance, and shared acknowledgment, underscoring literature's vital role in negotiating the aftermath of historical violence (Chowdhury, 2015; Kapoor, 2003).

Memory, Silence, and the Politics of Forgetting

South Asian narratives critically interrogate the complex relationship between memory and forgetting, revealing how silence is often actively produced through political, social, and ideological forces rather than arising naturally from historical distance (Chakrabarty, 2002; Spivak, 1988). State-sponsored histories, nationalist discourses, and communal politics frequently privilege selective remembrance, celebrating narratives of unity, heroism, or progress while suppressing uncomfortable histories of violence, displacement, and internal division (Said, 1978; Menon, 2007). In this context, forgetting functions as a mechanism of power, shaping collective consciousness by determining which histories are preserved and which are erased (Gandhi, 2009; Nandy, 2000). Literature intervenes in this process by recovering marginalized voices—such as those of women, minorities, refugees, and subaltern communities—whose experiences are excluded from official archives (Butalia, 1998; Bhatia, 2007). Through fragmented narratives, testimonial modes, and attention to silence, South Asian writers expose the politics underlying historical omission and challenge the authority of dominant narratives (Das, 2007; Spivak, 1988). Consciousness within these texts is thus shaped as much by absence as by presence, marked by gaps, erasures, and unspoken memories that continue to exert emotional and ethical force across generations (Chowdhury, 2015; Jalal, 1995). By foregrounding the politics of forgetting, South Asian literature reveals transgenerational memory as a contested terrain, where remembering becomes an act of resistance and ethical responsibility rather than passive recollection (Srinivas, 2001; Sen, 2017).

Nation, History, and Narrative Consciousness

South Asian narratives frequently position individual consciousness at the intersection of personal memory and national history, revealing how subjectivity is shaped within competing and often conflicting narratives of the nation (Chakrabarty, 2002; Sainath, 2005). The legacies of Partition, postcolonial state formation, and ongoing ideological divisions compel characters to negotiate their identities in relation to official histories that seek to impose coherent national myths (Menon, 2007; Gandhi, 2009). Literature exposes the fractures within these narratives by foregrounding lived experiences that resist or complicate state-sanctioned versions of the past (Das, 2007; Bhatia, 2007). Characters often experience a sense of alienation when personal or familial memories clash with nationalist discourses that marginalize minority perspectives or suppress internal violence (Kapoor, 2003; Jalal, 1995). Through this tension, consciousness emerges as politically situated and contested, shaped by the struggle between imposed historical frameworks and affective, embodied memory (Nandy, 2000; Spivak, 1988). Narrative strategies such as unreliable narration, multiple perspectives, and intergenerational storytelling allow writers to challenge the authority of national histories and to reveal the plurality of historical experience (Bhabha, 1994; Sen, 2017). South Asian

literature thus reconfigures the nation not as a stable or unified entity but as a contested symbolic space, within which consciousness is continuously negotiated, reshaped, and ethically engaged with history (Chatterjee, 1993; Chowdhury, 2015).

Religion, Ritual, and Sacred Memory

Religion and ritual occupy a central role in the transmission of transgenerational memory within South Asian narratives, functioning as powerful mnemonic systems that preserve historical consciousness through repetition, symbolism, and collective participation (Nandy, 2000; Menon, 2007). Religious practices such as prayer, mourning rituals, pilgrimage, fasting, and commemorative ceremonies provide structured frameworks through which communities remember loss, survival, and continuity in the aftermath of historical trauma (Chowdhury, 2015; Sainath, 2005). Sacred myths and narratives offer moral and cosmological explanations for suffering, allowing individuals to situate personal and inherited pain within broader ethical and spiritual worldviews (Gandhi, 2009; Bhatia, 2007). South Asian literature frequently depicts how rituals transform memory into embodied practice, ensuring that historical experiences are not only remembered cognitively but lived through bodily and communal performance (Das, 2007; Kapur, 2003). In contexts marked by Partition, communal violence, and displacement, faith-based traditions often serve as sources of emotional stability and collective identity, reinforcing a sense of belonging across generations (Butalia, 1998; Jalal, 1995). At the same time, narratives also critically examine how religious memory can be politicized or exclusionary, revealing tensions between spiritual healing and ideological appropriation (Siddiqui, 2011; Spivak, 1988). By portraying religion as both a site of preservation and contestation, South Asian narratives demonstrate how sacred memory shapes consciousness, mediating the relationship between trauma, morality, and collective historical understanding (Chatterjee, 1993; Bhabha, 1994).

Summary

This article has examined how South Asian narratives conceptualize consciousness as a transgenerational and historically embedded phenomenon. Through thematic focus on Partition, family memory, silence, and trauma, these narratives reveal how inherited histories shape individual subjectivity. Narrative techniques such as temporal fragmentation and multiple perspectives further reinforce the presence of the past within present consciousness. Ultimately, South Asian literature offers a powerful critique of individualistic models of consciousness, emphasizing relational identity and ethical engagement with history. By foregrounding transgenerational memory, these narratives contribute significantly to global discussions on memory, trauma, and postcolonial identity.

References

- Assmann, J. (2011). *Cultural memory and early civilization: Writing, remembrance, and political imagination*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Das, V. (2007). *Life and words: Violence and the descent into the ordinary*. University of California Press.
- Hirsch, M. (2012). *The generation of postmemory: Writing and visual culture after the Holocaust*. Columbia University Press.
- LaCapra, D. (2001). *Writing history, writing trauma*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

- Nandy, A. (1983). *The intimate enemy: Loss and recovery of self under colonialism*. Oxford University Press.
- Rushdie, S. (1981). *Midnight's children*. Jonathan Cape.
- Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.
- Talbot, I., & Singh, G. (2009). *The partition of India*. Cambridge University Press.
- White, H. (1987). *The content of the form: Narrative discourse and historical representation*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Young, A. (1995). *The harmony of illusions: Inventing post-traumatic stress disorder*. Princeton University Press.